

**FACULTY RESEARCH EDITION**  
of  
**The Savannah State College Bulletin**

*Published by*

**The Savannah State College**

Volume 19, No. 2      Savannah, Georgia      December, 1965

HOWARD JORDAN, JR., *President*

**Editorial Committee**

Blanton E. Black  
Mildred W. Glover  
Elonnie J. Josey

J. Randolph Fisher  
Joan L. Gordon  
Charles Pratt

Forrest O. Wiggins

John L. Wilson, *Chairman*

*Articles are presented on the authority of their writers, and neither the Editorial Committee nor Savannah State College assumes responsibility for the views expressed by contributors.*

---

**Contributors**

Raymond Pace Alexander, Judge of Commons Pleas Court,  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Alma C. Allen, Professor of Romance Languages, Norfolk Division,  
Virginia State College (On leave), Bluefield State College,  
West Virginia

Venkataraman Ananthanarayanan, Professor of Physics and  
Mathematics

Sarvan K. Bhatia, Professor of Economics

Clyde W. Hall, Professor and Head of Industrial Education

Miles W. Jackson, Jr., Chief Librarian, Atlanta University, Georgia  
John W. Jordan, Instructor of English

Elonnie J. Josey, Associate Professor and Librarian

Sheldon Marcus, Educational and Vocational Counselor,  
New York Public Schools

Charles Pratt, Professor of Chemistry

Kamalakar B. Raut, Professor of Chemistry

Robert D. Reid, Dean of Faculty

Tommie M. Samkange, Associate Professor of Psychology,  
Tuskegee Institute, Alabama

Philip D. Vairo, Associate Professor of Education and Chairman  
Department of Education, The University of North Carolina  
at Charlotte

Nazir A. Warsi, Professor of Mathematics and Physics

## Table of Contents

	Page
On the Dependence of O-H Bond Length in Hydrogen Bonded OH---O Systems Venkataraman Ananthanarayanan .....	6
An Approach to the Fiction of Miguel de Unamuno Alma C. Allen .....	10
The Problem of Theoretical Approach in Economic Investigation Sarvan K. Bhatia .....	15
The Development and Status of Industrial Arts in Georgia Schools Clyde W. Hall .....	22
Synthesis of 4:6 Thio 1, 3, 5-triazine Derivatives [1] Kalmalaker B. Raut.....	29
Flow Parameters Behind Three Dimensional Shock Wave Nazir A. Warsi .....	31
Ability Grouping: Pros and Cons John Wesley Jordan .....	34
In Our Other America Raymond Pace Alexander.....	50
Isolation of Lignoceric Acid from Acorns Charles Pratt .....	58
Why Climb Mount Parnassus Miles M. Jackson, Jr.....	60
An Appraisal of a Pre-Freshman Summer Program Robert D. Reid.....	65
Desegregation and Library Education Elonnie J. Josey.....	72

## Table of Contents – (Continued)

	Page
Certain Condensation Reactions with Copper Powder as a Catalyst Kamalakar B. Raut .....	78
Qualifications of College Teachers: 1918 - 1962 Philip D. Vairo .....	80
A Study of the Second Year Female Academic Probates at Tuskegee Institute Tommie M. Samkange .....	90
Experimental Studies Exploring the Effectiveness of the Group Method in Counseling Philip D. Vairo and Sheldon Marcus .....	108
Thermodynamic Parameters Behind Three Dimensional Shock Wave Nazir A. Warsi .....	112
Development Planning Under Democracy: The Case of India Sarvan K. Bhatia .....	116
Synthetic Preparation of Apiose from Dihydroxy Acetone Charles Pratt .....	126
Deflection of Streams Behind a Curved Shock Wave Nazir A. Warsi .....	131

# Flow Parameters Behind Three Dimensional Shock Wave

by

Nazir A. Warsi

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The jump conditions for the flow of a perfect gas in Lagrangian Coordinate system is given by [1]

$$(1.1) \quad [\dot{u}^i] + h_{n_j} [\tau] x^i = 0$$

$$(1.2) \quad [\dot{p}] + h_{n_j} [u^i] x^i = 0$$

$$(1.3) \quad [\frac{1}{2} v_{n_j}^2 + I] = 0$$

In order to determine the flow parameters behind the shock wave we take help of the idea of the shock-strength. The strength of the shock is defined as the ratio of the change in any flow parameter from the backside to the frontside to the flow parameter in front of the shock surface. The idea of shock strength is important from the physical point of view as it determines whether the shock is weak or whether it is to die out soon. As the values of a parameter in two regions approach one another, the discontinuity is removed and the shock dies out. According to the definition of the shock strength if  $F$  is a flow parameter, we have

$$(1.4) \quad \delta_{F_j} = [F] / F_{1_j}$$

where  $[F]$  stands for  $F_{2_j} - F_{1_j}$ . In particular the specific volume strength  $\delta\tau_j$  is given by

$$(1.5) \quad \delta\tau_j = [\tau] / \tau_{1_j}$$

## 2. FLOW PARAMETERS.

We have the following theorems.

**THEOREM: 2.1:** *The law of conservation of mass in terms of  $\delta\tau_j$  is given by*

$$(2.1) \quad [\dot{u}^i] = -h_{n_j} \delta\tau_j \tau_{1_j}^2 x^i$$

**PROOF:** In virtue of (1.5), (1.1) gives (2.1).

**COROLLARY 2.1:** *The equations (2.1) are equivalent to*

$$(2.2)a \quad [U_{n_j}] = -h_{n_j} \delta\tau_j \tau_{1_j}$$

or

$$(2.2)b \quad [U_{n_j}] = \delta\tau_j V_{1n_j}$$

or

$$(2.2)c \quad [U_i] = \delta \tau / V_{1/i} X^i$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad [U_d] = 0$$

PROOF: Multiplying (2.1) by  $X^i$  and summing with respect to  $i$ , we get (2.2)a. With the help of the relation  $-\dot{h}_{n_j} \tau_{1/j} = V_{1n_j}$ , equation (2.2)a gives (2.2)b which is evidently equivalent to (2.2)c.

Multiplying (2.1) by  $x^i_{,d}$  summing with respect to  $i$  and using the fact that

$$(2.4) \quad X^i_{,d} X^i = 0,$$

we get (2.3).

COROLLARY 2.2: For a stationary shock wave, we have

$$(2.5) \quad [U_{n_j}] = \delta \tau / U_{1n_j}$$

PROOF: For a stationary shock wave  $\bar{U}_{n_j} = 0$ . Therefore, putting  $V_{dn_j} = U_{dn_j}$  and  $V_{d/j} = U_{d/j}$  in (2.3)b, we get (2.5).

THEOREM 3.2: The law of conservation of momentum can be put in the form

$$(2.6)a \quad [p] = \dot{h}_{n_j} \delta \tau / \tau_{1/j}$$

or

$$(2.6)b \quad [p] = -\dot{h}_{n_j} \delta \tau / V_{1n_j}$$

or

$$(2.6)c \quad [p] = -\dot{h}_{n_j} \delta \tau / V_{1/i} X^i$$

which, for the stationary shock, reduces to

$$(2.7)a \quad [p] = -\dot{h}_{n_j} \delta \tau / U_{1n_j}$$

or

$$(2.7)b \quad [p] = -\dot{h}_{n_j} \delta \tau / U_{1/i} X^i$$

PROOF: Multiplying (1.5) by  $X^i$  and summing with respect to  $i$ , we get

$$(2.8) \quad [p] + \dot{h}_{n_j} [U_{n_j}] = 0$$

which, in consequence of (2.2)a gives (2.6)a. Equation (2.8) also gives (2.6)b if the value of  $[U_{n_j}]$  is substituted from (2.2)b. Obviously, (2.6)b is equivalent to (2.6)c.

For a stationary shock,  $V_{1n_j} = U_{1n_j}$  and  $V_{1/i} = U_{1/i}$

Hence, (2.6)b and (2.6)c reduce to (2.7)a and (2.7)b respectively.

THEOREM 3.3: The law of conservation of energy at the shock surface can be put in the form

$$(2.9)a \quad [I] = -\frac{1}{2} \dot{h}_{n_j}^2 \delta \tau / (\delta \tau / + 2) \tau_{1/j}^2$$

or

$$(2.9)b \quad [I] = -\frac{1}{2} \delta \tau / (\delta \tau / + 2) V_{1n_j}^2$$

which, for the stationary shock, reduces to

$$(2.10) \quad [I] = -\frac{1}{2} \delta z_1 (\delta z_1 + 2) U_{1n}^2$$

PROOF: (1.3) gives (2.9)a if (1.5) is used. The relation  $-\frac{h_{n1}}{V_{1n1}} = \frac{V_{1n1}}{U_{1n1}}$  reduces (2.9)a to (2.9)b. For a stationary shock  $V_{1n1} = U_{1n1}$ . Hence, (2.10) is obvious from (2.9)b.

**THEOREM 3.4:** *The specific volumes on the two sides of the shock surface are related by the equation*

$$(2.11)a \quad [Z] = -\frac{\delta z_1}{h_{n1}} V_{1n1}$$

or

$$(2.11)b \quad [Z] = -\frac{\delta z_1}{h_{n1}} V_{1/i} \chi^i$$

which, in the case of a stationary shock, reduce to

$$(2.12)a \quad [Z] = -\frac{\delta z_1}{h_{n1}} U_{1n1}$$

or

$$(2.12)b \quad [Z] = -\frac{\delta z_1}{h_{n1}} U_{1/i} \chi^i$$

PROOF: Substituting  $\tau_{11} = -V_{1n1}/h_{n1}$  in (1.5), we get (2.11)a which is obviously equivalent to (2.11)b. For a stationary shock  $V_{1n1} = U_{1n1}$  and  $V_{1/i} = U_{1/i}$ . Hence, we get (2.12)a and (2.12)b.

**THEOREM 3.5:** *In the case of unsteady flow of a polytropic gas is given by,  $\delta z_1$*

$$(2.13) \quad \delta z_1 = \frac{2}{\gamma+1} \frac{C_{11}^2 - h_{n1} \tau_{11}^2}{h_{n1}^2 \tau_{11}^2}$$

PROOF: In the case of a polytropic gas, the energy and specific enthalpy equations are written as

$$(2.14) \quad e_{\alpha 1} = p_{\alpha 1} \tau_{\alpha 1} / (\gamma - 1)$$

and

$$(2.15) \quad I_{\alpha 1} = e_{\alpha 1} + p_{\alpha 1} \tau_{\alpha 1}$$

respectively. (2.15), by virtue of (2.14), gives

$$(2.16) \quad I_{\alpha 1} = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} p_{\alpha 1} \tau_{\alpha 1}$$

which gives

$$(2.17) \quad [I] = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} [p\tau]$$

If  $\tau_{21}$ ,  $p_{21}$  and  $[I]$  are eliminated from (2.17) with the help of (1.5), (2.6)a and (2.9)a, then an equation containing  $\delta z_1$  and the flow and thermodynamic parameters of region 1, is obtained. This equation gives the value of  $\delta z_1$  as shown in (2.13).

## References

1. Warsi, N. A. (1965) On Geometry of Shock Waves in Lagrangian Coordinate System. Savannah State College Faculty Research Bulletin. Vol. 18, No. 2.
2. Mishra, R. S. On Stream Lines With Reference to a Shock Surface. Proceedings of National Institute of Sciences. Vol. 26, No 6.

# Thermodynamic Parameters Behind Three Dimensional Shock Wave

by

Nazir A. Warsi

## 1. INTRODUCTION.

The author has determined the flow parameters behind three dimensional shock wave using Lagrangian Coordinate System [1]. The object of this paper is to study the thermodynamic parameters using the same techniques.

## 2. THERMODYNAMIC PARAMETERS.

We have the following theorems.

**THEOREM 2.1:** *The sound velocities of the fluid, behind and in front of the shock surface, are related by the equation*

$$(2.1)a \quad [c^2] = -\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \delta z_1 (\delta z_1 + 2) \frac{h_1 n_1}{\tau_1}^2 \tau_1 /$$

or

$$(2.1)b \quad [c^2] = -\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \delta z_1 (\delta z_1 + 2) \sqrt{1} n_1^2$$

which, for the stationary shock, reduces to

$$(2.2) \quad [c^2] = -\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \delta z_1 (\delta z_1 + 2) u_1 n_1^2$$

**PROOF:** The sound velocities in two regions are related by

$$(2.3) \quad [c^2] = \gamma [p \tau]$$

The pressure and specific volume behind the shock surface are given by [1]

$$(2.4) \quad \delta z_1 = [z] / \tau_1 /$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad [p] = -\frac{h_1 n_1}{\tau_1}^2 \delta z_1 \tau_1 /$$

Substituting the value of  $\tau_2 /$  and  $p_2 /$  from (2.4) and (2.5) in (2.3), we get (2.1)a which, in consequence of the relation  $-\frac{h_1 n_1}{\tau_1} = \sqrt{1} n_1$  gives (2.1)b. For stationary shock  $\sqrt{1} n_1 = u_1 n_1$ . Hence, we get (2.2).

**THEOREM 2.2:** *The Mach number behind the shock surface is given by*

$$(2.6)a \quad M_{2n_1} = \frac{u_{1n_1} - \delta z_1 \frac{h_1 n_1}{\tau_1}}{\sqrt{c_{1n_1}^2 - \frac{\gamma-1}{2} \delta z_1 (\delta z_1 + 2) \frac{h_1 n_1}{\tau_1}^2}}$$

or

$$(2.6)b \quad M_{2n_1} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\tau_1}} \frac{u_{1n_1} - \delta z_1 \frac{h_1 n_1}{\tau_1}}{\sqrt{2 \tau_1 p_1 - (\gamma-1) \delta z_1 (\delta z_1 + 2) \frac{h_1 n_1}{\tau_1}^2}}$$

or

$$(2.6)c \quad M_{2n_1} = \frac{u_{1n_1} + \delta z_1 \sqrt{1} n_1}{\sqrt{c_{1n_1}^2 - \frac{\gamma-1}{2} \delta z_1 (\delta z_1 + 2) \sqrt{1} n_1^2}}$$

PROOF: From the definition of Mach number, we have

$$(2.7) M_{2n/} = u_{2n/} / c_{2/}$$

Also, the velocity behind the shock is given by [ 1 ]

$$(2.8) [u_{n/}] = -h'_{n/} \delta \epsilon / \tau_{1/}$$

If we substitute the value of  $c_{2/}$ ,  $u_{2n/}$  from (2.1)a, (2.8) in (2.7), we get (2.6)a which in turn gives (2.6)b in virtue of the relation  $c_{1/} \tau_{1/} = \gamma b_{1/} \tau_{1/}$ . Using the relation  $-h'_{n/} \tau_{1/} = v_{2n/}$  and equation (2.6)a, we easily obtain (2.6)c.

**THEOREM 2.3:** *The components of obliquity behind the shock surface are given by*

$$(2.9) \Psi_{2/\alpha} = \frac{u_{1/i} x_{j\alpha}^i}{u_{1n/} - h'_{n/} \tau_{1/} \delta \epsilon /}$$

PROOF: The components of obliquity in a region  $\beta/$  is given by

$$(2.10) \Psi_{\beta/\alpha} = \frac{u_{\beta/i} x_{j\alpha}^i}{u_{\beta n/}}$$

whence, we have

$$(2.11) \Psi_{2/\alpha} = \frac{u_{2/i} x_{j\alpha}^i}{u_{2n/}}$$

Substituting for  $u_{2/i}$  and  $u_{2n/}$  and applying the fact that

$$(2.12) x_i^i x_{j\alpha}^j = 0,$$

we get (2.9).

**THEOREM 2.4:** *For a stationary shock wave, we have*

$$(2.13) \frac{1}{\delta \epsilon /} + \frac{1}{\delta \psi /} = 1$$

where  $\delta \psi /$  the obliquity strength of the shock wave is defined as

$$(2.14) [\Psi_{\alpha}] = \delta \psi / \Psi_{1/\alpha}$$

PROOF: Since  $-h'_{n/} \tau_{1/} = v_{1n/}$  and  $v_{1n/} = u_{1n/}$  for a stationary shock, (2.9) can be written as

$$(2.15) \Psi_{2/\alpha} = \frac{u_{1/i} x_{j\alpha}^i}{u_{1n/} (1 + \delta \epsilon /)}$$

Now, putting  $\beta = 1$  in (2.10), we get

$$(2.16) \Psi_{1/\beta} = u_{1/i} x_{j\alpha}^i / u_{1n/}$$

This and (2.15) give

$$(2.17) \Psi_{2/\alpha} = \Psi_{1/\alpha} / (1 + \delta \epsilon /)$$

which, with the help of (2.14), gives (2.13).

**THEOREM 2.5:** *The obliquities behind and in front of the shock surface are related by the equation*

$$(2.18) \left[ \frac{1}{\psi_{\alpha}} \right] = - \frac{h' n / \delta z / z_1 /}{u_{1/i} x_{2/\alpha}}$$

**PROOF:** In consequence of (2.9) and (2.16), we get (2.18).

**THEOREM 2.6:** *If  $e$  be the specific internal energy of a polytropic gas, then we have*

$$(2.19) [e] = -\frac{1}{2r} \delta z / (\delta z / + 2) h' n^2 z_1^2$$

**PROOF:** For a polytropic gas, we have

$$(2.20) I_{\alpha /} = e_{\alpha /} + p_{\alpha /} z_{\alpha /}$$

whence, we get

$$(2.21)a [I] = [e] + [p z]$$

or

$$(2.21)b [I] = [e] + \frac{1}{r} [c^2]$$

But,  $[I]$  is given by  $[I]$

$$(2.22) [I] = -\frac{1}{2} h' n / \delta z / (\delta z / + 2) z_1^2$$

which, in consequence of (2.1)a and (2.21)b gives (2.19).

**THEOREM 2.7:** *Specific entropies of a polytropic gas behind and in front of the shock surface are related by the equation*

$$(2.23). [\eta] = J C_v \log \frac{(\delta z /)^{r-1}}{2 c_{1/}^2} \left\{ 2 c_{1/}^2 - (r-1) \delta z / (\delta z / + 2) h' n^2 z_1^2 \right\}$$

**PROOF:** For a polytropic gas [2], we have

$$(2.24)a \eta_{\alpha /} = J C_v \log p_{\alpha /} z_{\alpha /}^r$$

or

$$(2.25)b \eta_{\alpha /} = J C_v \log \frac{c_{\alpha /}^2 z_{\alpha /}^{r-1}}{r}$$

whence, we get

$$(2.26) [\eta] = J C_v \log \frac{c_{2/}^2}{c_{1/}^2} \left( \frac{z_{2/}}{z_{1/}} \right)^{r-1}$$

If we substitute the value of  $\frac{c_{2/}^2}{c_{1/}^2}$  from (2.4) and  $c_{2/}^2$  from (2.1)a in (2.26), we readily get (2.23).

**THEOREM 2.8:** *The temperature of a polytropic gas behind and in front of a shock surface are related by the equation*

$$(2.27) [T] = - \frac{(r-1)}{2rR} \delta z / (\delta z / + 2) h' n^2 z_1^2$$

PROOF: For a polytropic gas, the temperature in a region of the fluid is given by

$$(2.28) \quad C_{\infty}^2 = \gamma R T_{\infty}$$

which gives

$$(2.29) \quad [c^2] = \gamma R [T]$$

Equation (2.29), by virtue of (2.1)a becomes (2.27).

### References

1. Warsi, N. A. Flow Parameters behind Three Dimensional Shock Wave (Underpublication) Savannah State College Faculty Research Bulletin.
2. Kanal, R. P. (1960) Archive for Rational Mechanics & Analysis. 4, 335.
3. Mishra, R. S. On Flow Behind a Three Dimensional Unstead Curved Shock Wave, Indian Journal of Mathematics. Vol. 2, No. 1.

# Deflection of Streams Behind a Curved Shock Wave

by

Nazir A. Warsi

## 1. INTRODUCTION.

If the angle between the tangent to the stream line and the unit normal vector ( $X^i$ ) to the shock surface be  $\Theta$ , then

$$(1.1) \quad V_{\alpha} n_i = V_{\alpha/i} X^i = V_{\alpha/i} \cos \Theta_{\alpha/i}$$

where  $V_{\alpha/i}$  is the velocity vector in the region  $\alpha/i$ . If the space components of a vector field tangential to the surface are  $t_i$ , then

$$(1.2) \quad V_{\alpha/i} t_i = V_{\alpha/i} \sin \Theta_{\alpha/i}$$

The law of conservation of mass at the shock surface is given by [1]

$$(1.3) \quad [V^i] = \delta z_i V_i n_i / X^i$$

Multiplying it by  $t^i$  and summing with respect to  $i$  we get

$$(1.4)a \quad [V^i] t_i = 0$$

or

$$(1.4)b \quad V_{2/i} \sin \Theta_{2/i} = V_{1/i} \sin \Theta_{1/i} = 2$$

## 2. DEFLECTION OF STREAMS.

We have the following theorems.

**THEOREM 2.1:** *The angle that the stream line makes with the unit normal  $X^i$  is given by*

$$(2.1) \quad \cot \Theta_{2/i} = (\delta z_i + 1) \cot \Theta_{1/i}$$

**PROOF:** Multiplying (1.3) by  $X^i$  and summing with respect to  $i$ , we get

$$(2.2)a \quad [V n_i] = \delta z_i V_i n_i /$$

or

$$(2.2)b \quad V_{2/i} \cos \Theta_{2/i} = (\delta z_i + 1) V_{1/i} \cos \Theta_{1/i}$$

Equations (1.4)b and (2.2)b give (2.1).

**THEOREM 2.2:** *For both the regions, the ratio  $\cot \Theta/z$  is constant, that is*

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\cot \Theta_{\alpha/i}}{z_{\alpha/i}} = \frac{1}{\mu}$$

PROOF: By virtue of the relation  $\delta z_1 = \frac{[z]}{z_1}$ , equation (2.1) gives (2.3).

THEOREM 2.3: For an unsteady flow behind the shock wave, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \omega = -\mu h' n_1$$

PROOF: Dividing (2.2)b by (1.4)b, we get

$$(2.5)a \quad \cot \theta_{21} = \frac{(\delta z_1 + 1)}{z_1} V_{11} \cos \theta_{11}$$

or

$$(2.5)b \quad \frac{\cot \theta_{21}}{z_1} = \frac{1}{z_1} V_{11} n_1$$

which, in consequence of (2.3) and the relation  $\delta z_1 = [z]/z_1$ , gives (2.4)

THEOREM 2.4: The specific volume strength of the shock is defined as the ratio of difference of cotangent of the angle of emergence and the cotangent of the angle of incidence to the cotangent of angle of incidence.

PROOF: From (2.1), it is obvious that

$$(2.6) \quad \delta z_1 = [\cot \theta] / \cot \theta_{11}$$

### 3. MAXIMUM DEFLECTION.

Mishra (1960) studied the deflection and found that  $\theta_{21} > \theta_{11}$ . Angle of deflection of the stream behind the shock is given by  $[\theta]$ . Therefore, the angle of the deflection,  $\Omega$  is given by

$$(3.1) \quad \cot \Omega = \cot [\theta] = \frac{\cot \theta_{21} \cot \theta_{11} + 1}{\cot \theta_{11} - \cot \theta_{21}}$$

Substituting for  $\cot \theta_{21}$  from (2.1), the equation (3.1) gives

$$(3.2)a \quad \cot \Omega = \frac{(\delta z_1 + 1) \cot^2 \theta_{11} + 1}{-\delta z_1 \cot \theta_{11}}$$

or

$$(3.2)b \quad \cot \Omega = \frac{z_1}{\delta z_1} \frac{(1 + \delta z_1 \cos^2 \theta_{11})}{\sin 2\theta_{11}}$$

or

$$(3.2)c \quad \cot \Omega = \frac{\delta z_1 + 1 + \xi^2}{-\delta z_1 \xi}$$

where  $\xi = \tan \theta_{11}$

Hence, we have the following theorems.

THEOREM 3.1: In the case of maximum deflection for a fixed  $\delta z_1$ , we have

$$(3.3) \quad \xi_f = \tan^2 \theta_{11} = 1 + \delta z_1$$

PROOF: For the maximum deflection, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \xi} = 0$$

Differentiating (3.2)c with respect to  $\xi$  and using (3.4), we get (3.3)

**THEOREM 3.2.** *In the case of the maximum deflection for a fixed  $\delta z_1$ , the ratio of specific volume of two regions is the same as the ratio of square of the tangent of the angle of incidence to unity.*

**PROOF:** For the maximum deflection, we have

$$(3.5)a \quad \tan^2 \theta_{1/} = 1 + \delta z_1$$

or

$$(3.5)b \quad \tan^2 \theta_{1/} : 1 = z_{2/} : z_{1/}$$

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Maximum deflection for a fixed  $\delta z_1$  is given by*

$$(3.6) \quad \cot \underline{\alpha} = \pm \frac{2}{\delta z_1} \sqrt{\delta z_1 + 1}$$

**PROOF:** In consequence of (3.3), the equation (3.2)c gives (3.6).

### References

1. Warsi, N. A. Flow Parameters Behind 3-Dimensional Shock Wave (Under publication) Savannah State College Faculty Research Bulletin.
2. Mishra, R. S. (1960): Deflection of Impinging Streams Through a Shock Wave in a Perfect Gas. Tensor (N.S.) Vol. 10, No. 3.
3. Liepman, A. W. & Puckett, A. E. (1947) Introduction of a Compressible Fluid, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.